

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/799,755	KHAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael La Villa	1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael La Villa. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Cummings, Attny. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 24 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 15 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary; and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 MICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D.  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. \_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to amend Claim 15 as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment that accompanies the Notice of Allowability. Amendment of the title was not discussed, but deemed necessary so that the title relates to the claimed subject matter. The layer arrangement in Claim 15 was discussed as requiring that the first layer would be positioned between the second layer and the first and/or second surface. The layer arrangement of Claim 20 was discussed as further requiring that the second layer would be in direct contact with the first layer.



MICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER